

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING D	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/498,515	09/498,515 02/04/2000		000	Howard G. Page	1285	8911
28004	759	0	05/27/2005		EXAMI	NER
SPRINT 6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100					RETTA, YEHDEGA	
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OVERLA:	ND PA	ARK, KS	66251-2100	3622	12	
					DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005	. 17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/498,515	PAGE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yehdega Retta	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty  by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT,  by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>09 March 2004</u> .	·				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8,10-18,20-23 and 25-27 is/ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are solution 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8,10-18,20-23 and 25-27 is/ 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.  are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	)□ accepted or b)□ objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	,					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action f	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been i I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
200 the attached detailed Office action i	o. a not of the continue copies flut i	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Attachment(s)	<u>.</u>	(DTO 440)				
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/498,515

Art Unit: 3622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to amendment filed March 9, 2004. Claims 1-8, 10-18, 20-23, 25-27 are currently pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 10-18, 20-23, 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swix et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,718,551 further in view of "NDS: NDS' XTV(TM) time shifting technology empowers the viewer and the broadcaster", M2 Presswire, Sep 10, 1999, (hereinafter XTV(TM)).

Regarding claims 1 6-8, 11, 12, 16-18, 21, 22 and 26, Swix teaches selecting video advertising that has a subject matter relation to the selected video content requested by the target viewer; inserting the selected video advertising, into the video stream that transfers the selected video content to the target viewer; caching the video advertising using user device, displaying the video advertising and the selected video content to the viewer; interface (see fig. 1&2, col. 6 line 26 to col. 7 line 51, col. 8 line 66 to col. 9 line 44, col. 11 line 23 to col. 12 line 20, col. 13 lines 9-54). Swix does not teach disabling fast-forward capability when the selected video advertising is displayed. XTV(TM) teaches a set-top-box which provides advertisers with the ability to totally prevent views from skipping ads. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 09/498,515

Art Unit: 3622

skill in the art at the time of the invention to disable the ability of fast-forward or skip forward in order to force the subscriber to view the commercials (see page 1).

Regarding claims 2, 13 and 23 Swix teaches inserting points in the selected video content for the selected advertisement and inserting the selected advertisement at the insertion point (see fig. 5 and col. 12 line 61 to col. 13 line 9).

Regarding claims 3, 4, 14 and 15, Swix teaches transferring the video content over first transport system and the adverting over a second transport system (see col. 13 lines 9-3).

Regarding claims 5 and 27. Swix teaches selecting the video ad based on a viewer profile for the target viewer (see col. 7 line 31 to col. 8 line 2, col. 8 line 66 to col. 9 line 44)

Regarding claims 10, 20 and 25, Swix does not explicitly teach re-displaying the selected video advertisements after rewinding the video content. It would have been obvious for Swix to re-display the same advertising since the advertisement selected is cached at the client set-top box and is inserted into the video stream, locally at a client side, and presented to the viewer.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed March 9, 2004 with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-8, 10-18, 20-23 and 25-27, under 35 USC § 103, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of "NDS' XTV (TM) time shifting technology empowers the viewer and the broadcaster".

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/498,515

Art Unit: 3622

Unit: 3022

Eldering (US 6,615,039 B1) teaches inserting advertisement into streaming media, based

Page 4

on viewer profile.

Suzuki (US 6,493,763 B1) teaches inserting commercial advertisement into video-on-

demand at a proper timing.

Bhagavath et al. (US 6,505,169 B1) teaches inserting streaming content based on

conditions pre-selected by the source of the advertising.

Eyer et al. (US 6,588,015) teaches disabling fast-forward of advertisement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Yehdega Retta whose telephone number is (571) 272-6723. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ehdega Retta

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3622

YR